Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,460	FOX ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emmanuel Sayoc	3746
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Emmanuel Sayoc.	(3) <u>Charles Freay</u> .	
(2) <u>Phyllis Kristal</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 August 2004	Time: <u>2pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103a		
Claims discussed: 17, 29, and 59		
Prior art documents discussed: Lewis et al., Forster, Goto et al., Sanderson et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The applicant agreed to the amendments outlined in the examiner's amendments in order to place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		